28

¹ Refers to the Court's docket number.

II. Discussion

McCorcle argues that the terms of his supervised release should be modified, specifically the condition that he participate in gambling addiction treatment and the condition prohibiting him from entering gambling establishments. However, this Court cannot consider his motion for two reasons. First, McCorcle currently has an appeal pending before the Ninth Circuit—this fact alone deprives this Court of jurisdiction to act on his request. *See, e.g., United States v. Thompson*, No. CR07-436MJP, 2010 WL 1490650, at *1 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 13, 2010). "The filing of a notice of appeal is an event of jurisdictional significance – it confers jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of its control over those aspects of the case involved in the appeal." *Griggs v. Provident Consumer Discount Co.*, 459 U.S. 56, 58 (1982) (per curiam). Second, McCorcle is currently represented by counsel and thus may not file a pro se motion. *See* Local Rule IA 10-6.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that McCorcle's Motion to Reconsider Sentence (Doc. #33) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4th day of April, 2016.

LARRY R. HICKS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

isha